

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,537	01/28/2002	Thomas Silva	1164.001	3710	
7590 08/20/2004		EXAMINER			
Richard L. Sampson			ONEILL, MICHAEL W		
	ASSOCIATES, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
50 Congress Street				PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02109			3713		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		/		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	9		
Office Action Summary		10/058,53	37	SILVA, THOMAS			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit			
		Michael ()'Neill	3713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state the toreply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) o ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 17 May 2004.					
'=	•	b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3)□							
,—	closed in accordance with the practic	•					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-38 and 45-54</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 and 39-44 is/are rejected	ed.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applica ents have been rece le 17.2(a)).	ation No lived in this National Sta	age		
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-4-2</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-38 and 45-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5-17-2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11, 12, 39 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Payne et al. '511.

Payne et al. '051 discloses the claimed invention of having a slot machine with a triangular display. Note that because the

Art Unit: 3713

slot machine disclosed herein has 10 blocks, the triangular sections can overlap thus meet the limitations of claims 7 and 9. Also, the winline/payout lines meet the selector means of claim 8. Further, picking a payline meets picking a block within claim 12.

Claims 10 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sesti et al. '456. Because Sesti et al. uses cards to facilitate game movement it meets the game of chance limitation and the table game limitation. As seen from the drawings in Sesti et al., its disclosure reads on the claim limitations within the instant claims in that the display is pyramid in shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere* Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for

Art Unit: 3713

establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2, 4, 5 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne et al. '511.

Re. claims 2 and 41: Absent a showing of criticality, these size, number of blocks and shape thereof limitations are design choices left to the inventor's discretion and thus are obvious to one skilled in the art.

Re. claims 4 and 5: Absent a showing of criticality, the theme of a game of chance is a design choice left to the inventor's discretion and thus obvious to one skilled in the art. This is further demonstrated by the plethora of prior art Applicant cited regarding these themes in other games within the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Examiner doesn't want to overburden the Applicant with multiple rejections for these very broad claims. The Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 10/058,537

Art Unit: 3713

encourage to read all of the prior art cited herein prior to amending the claims because alot of this prior art could be used to reject the instant overly broad claims. This is why the instant Examiner is citing all of this art and expects the Applicant to obtain copies thereof and read therefor.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 703-308-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks, Acting SPE can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,537 Page 6

Art Unit: 3713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MON: cmm

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER